

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27255 of 2017

Date of decision: July 31, 2017

Deepak Rawat

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Amrainder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG
Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Rule. Heard forthwith with the consent of learned counsel
for the rival parties.

It is not in dispute that the petitioner was on bail during
trial. When the case was called out for hearing on the appointed date of
hearing before the trial Court, the petitioner remained absent and as
such, the trial Court cancelled the bail and forfeited the bail bonds.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the
petitioner, submits that the petitioner would promptly appear before
the trial Court to face the trial.

However, since the petitioner has remained absent, he is
required to pay compensatory costs in the sum of ₹5,000/- payable to

the State of Haryana by forfeiting the same, after depositing it with the trial Court, as a pre-condition.

Hence, without finding any fault with the order of the trial Court, petitioner shall be released on regular bail on furnishing of fresh bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, subject to his paying compensatory costs in the sum of ₹5,000/- payable to the State of Haryana by forfeiting the same, after depositing it with the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

July 31, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No