

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27170-2017
Date of decision : 04.08.2017**

Gajinder Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present : Mr. B.S. Tewatia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

The petition in hand for regular bail has been filed by Gajinder Singh and Krishan both of them being accused in FIR No.118 dated 26.05.2017 under Sections 420, 267, 468, 471 IPC, registered with Police Station Rajpura, District Patiala.

Both the petitioners are stated to be arrested on 27.05.2017 and presently they are in judicial custody. The recovery of laptop and printer has been effected from all the accused. It is stated that one of the accused namely Amit Kumar who was similarly placed has been granted benefit of regular bail by this Court in **CRM-M-26143-2017** vide order dated

02.08.2017.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

Keeping in view the fact that petitioners are in judicial custody and no recovery is to be effected from them. Moreover, conclusion of trial in the case of will take long time and their guilt shall be established during the trial. Hence no useful purpose will be served by keeping them behind the bars any more. Therefore, in view of the abovesaid circumstances, petition is accepted. Petitioners-Gajinder Singh and Krishan are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court / CJM / Duty Magistrate, Patiala.

It is clarified that the learned trial Court / CJM / Duty Magistrate, Patiala accepting requisite bonds may impose any conditions which it found proper and appropriate.

It is further clarified that in case such petitioners are found to be indulging in any criminal activity again, then the prosecution shall be entitled to move an application seeking cancellation of their bail.

04.08.2017

Gaurav Sorot

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

1. Whether reportable? **No / Yes**

2. Whether speaking / reasoned? **No / Yes**

In CRM-M-27170 of 2017

Gajinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab

It has come to my notice that in the last page of the final order dated 4.8.2017, some grammatical mistake has occurred. The same has been corrected. Let corrected order be uploaded on the website of this Court after deleting the final order already uploaded.

August 9, 2017
Gaurav Sorot

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE