

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27155 of 2017 (O&M)  
Decided on:-November 16, 2017.

Ajit Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Narcotics Control Bureau, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent.

**CORAM:    *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.***

\*\*\*\*\*

Present:-    Ms. G.K. Mann, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.D. Sharma, Advocate  
for the respondent.

**HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in complaint No.120 dated 13.01.2017, Crime No.33 dated 20.10.2016 under Sections 8, 21 and 29 of the Narcotics Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short, the NDPS Act) registered at Police Station NCB, Chandigarh.

The allegation against the petitioner are that on the basis of information received by intelligence officer, Narcotic Control Bureau (NCB), Chandigarh, the flat of the petitioner was raided and on search, a bag was found lying on the bed. When the said bag was opened by the petitioner-accused, a polythene was recovered which was containing 610 grams heroin.

On 18.09.2017, learned counsel for the respondent-NCB has stated that as against total 8 witnesses cited by the prosecution, 6 witnesses

have already been examined and the next date of hearing in the case was 29.09.2017.

However, today, learned counsel for the respondent-NCB states that though there were 8 witnesses cited by the prosecution, but the prosecution has decided to examine only 6 witnesses. Out of these 6 witnesses, 3 witnesses have already been examined and the cross-examination of 4<sup>th</sup> witness is required to be conducted by the petitioner-accused. The case is now fixed for 24.11.2017 for cross-examination of 4<sup>th</sup> witness and examination of remaining prosecution witnesses.

He further states that in all probabilities, examination of all the prosecution witnesses shall be completed within two months from today.

Noticing the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondent-NCB, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this petition at this stage.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as such.

It is made clear that in case the prosecution fails to complete its evidence within the aforesaid period of two months from today, the petitioner shall be at liberty to approach the trial Court for the relief claimed in the present petition.

**November 16, 2017**  
Yag Dutt

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No