

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28002 of 2016

Date of decision: February 27, 2017

Jagroop Singh @ Roopa

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh Batalvi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhishek chautala, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Abhinav Jain, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.31 dated 30.03.2014, under Sections 302, 392, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Sections 341, 120-B IPC added later on) and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Jaito, District Faridkot, the petitioner seeks regular bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in jail since the date of his arrest. There is allegation about double murder. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has filed passport to show that he was not present at the place when occurrence took place.

Learned State counsel submits that only complainant has been examined-in-chief and the petitioner has number of other cases to

his credit.

Be that as it may, looking to the detention of the petitioner in jail for a long period and the trial being progressing with snail speed, learned trial Court is directed to dispose of the trial itself within a period of 3 months. Plea for bail cannot be entertained.

Petition stands disposed of.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

February 27, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No