

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27138-2017

Date of decision: 25.09.2017

Pooja Yadav and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate for respondents No. 10 and 12.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No. 8 to 13 not to harass, humiliate and interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners and for further direction to respondents No. 3 and 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 8 to 13.

2. Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate has filed Power of Attorney on behalf of respondents No. 10 and 12 in Court today, which is taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel for both the States of Punjab and Haryana have filed separate replies by way of affidavits of Sh. Davinder Singh, PPS, Superintendent of Police, Malout on behalf of respondents No. 1, 3 and 4 and Sh. Rajeev Kumar, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of

Police, Udyog, Gurugram on behalf of respondents No. 2, 5, 6 and 7,

respectively in Court today, which are taken on record.

4. In view of the averments made in the replies filed by both the learned State counsel, the instant petition has rendered infructuous and the same is dismissed as such.

September 25, 2017
rishu

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No