

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-2707 of 2017
Date of decision: 28.04.2017**

Sumit Sharma and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. G.S. Madan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Navrej Singh Mahal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 120 dated 16.10.2014, under Sections 498-A, 406 read with Section 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station, Women Cell, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Bindu Sharma-respondent No.2 (complainant) to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Sumit Sharma-petitioner No.1 on 12.10.2013 according to Hindu rites and rituals. Soon after the marriage, her in-laws i.e. petitioners started harassing and humiliating her on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry. She was also given beatings by them. In this

background, the FIR was registered.

After registration of the FIR, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties vide compromise (Annexure P-2).

In compliance with the order dated 30.01.2017 passed by this Court, parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. Report from the Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Ludhiana, has been received in this regard. As per report, Bindu Sharma-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 20.02.2017 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with accused-petitioners out of her own free will and without any pressure or coercion. As per compromise, petitioner No.1 and complainant have filed a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act for dissolution of marriage with mutual consent, which is pending before the Additional District Judge, Ludhiana. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Joint statement of Sumit Sharma and Varinder Sharma-petitioners was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (CrL) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 120 dated 16.10.2014, under Sections 498-A, 406 read with Section 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station, Women Cell, District Ludhiana, is quashed with all consequential

proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

28.04.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No