

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 27040 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION :- September 08, 2017

Surmukh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Har Naresh Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner and his wife have since appeared in the enquiry and their statements have been recorded. This fact is conceded by the learned State counsel, on instruction from ASI Ranjit Singh, Economic Wing, Ropar. He further submits that enquiry is still going on. The State counsel further submits that if petitioner or his wife are required to join enquiry, they would be summoned by adopting due process by issuing notice to them giving them sufficient time to appear in the enquiry.

Hearing this statement, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be permitted to withdraw the petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

September 08, 2017

p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No