

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-27028 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: October 27, 2017

Inderpreet Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ramandeep, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Jagpal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of cross-case registered vide DDR No.32 dated 28.10.2014, under Sections 295, 294, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Raikot, Ludhiana Rural in FIR No.125 dated 28.10.2014, under Sections 354, 294, 323, 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Raikot, District Ludhiana (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/2).

The DDR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Sukhdev Singh on the allegations that while he was standing at the bus stand of his village, the accused-petitioners started abusing him and also

gave beatings to him. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqua Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate First Class at Jagraon, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has

been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543**, this petition is allowed and cross-case registered vide DDR No.32 dated 28.10.2014, under Sections 295, 294, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Raikot, Ludhiana Rural in FIR No.125 dated 28.10.2014, under Sections 354, 294, 323, 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Raikot, District Ludhiana and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed.

The petition stands disposed of.

October 27, 2017

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No