

**103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.26980 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : August 16, 2017

Surat Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Himandhu Monga, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner claims that the private respondents have land adjoining to the petitioner and they want to grab his valuable piece of land and harassing him. A false case has been planted upon him.

I am of the view that it is basically a civil dispute, regarding the boundary of the land. The petitioner can approach the Civil Courts to seek appropriate remedy. Under the grab of protection to the life and liberty, under Section 482 Cr.P.C., this Court cannot direct the official respondents to solve the boundary dispute of the land of the petitioner.

Dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

August 16, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No