

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. No. M-2688 of 2017
Date of Decision: 07.7.2017**

Ghanshyam Yadav

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Ravinder Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Respondent No. 2 in person.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 74 dated 29.8.2013 registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, Police Station Women Cell, Patiala (Annexure P-1) and all the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The trial Court has reported that the compromise is genuine, out their free will and without any threat or pressure. The trial Court has also sent the copy of the statements of the parties.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioner is the only accused and respondent No. 2 is the only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioner.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

July 07, 2017
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No