

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-26833 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 28.08.2017**

Promila

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. L. S. Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate,
for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.80 dated 26.04.2017 under Sections 324/323/148/149/506 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station City Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is not named in the FIR, which is outcome of a dispute between the son of petitioner and the complainant. The petitioner is about 45 years old lady.

This Court by the order dated 17.07.2017, had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of her arrest, she was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balkar Singh, states that in pursuance to the order dated 27.07.2017, the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

The petitioner is not named in the FIR which is outcome of a dispute between her son and the complainant.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 27.07.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

AUGUST 28, 2017
shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO