

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27602 of 2016

Date of decision: November 13, 2017

Yogi Raj Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Proxy counsel for
Mr. Chandan Singh Raja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Karan Padam, Advocate for
Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

In view of the order dated 06.12.2016, the compliance of the same has not been made till date as contended by learned counsel for the complainant.

It is seen from the record that a large number of adjournments have been obtained thereafter for almost one year.

Learned proxy counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the arguing counsel is out of station and seeks adjournment.

On the above ground, it is not possible to grant adjournment.

In that view of the matter, petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Interim order is vacated.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

November 13, 2017
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No