

**218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-26698 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 21.08.2017.

Deepak

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana
assisted by ASI Ms. Suman.

Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 in person
identified by ASI Ms. Suman.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 the Cr.P.C seeking regular bail in case FIR No.65 dated 13.10.2016 registered under Sections 363, 366-A, 506 and 120-B IPC and Section 3 of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and Sections 4 and 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 at Police Station Women City, Narnaul.

Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 are present. They have been heard in person.

Respondent No.2, Dharmender has made a statement that the prosecutrix in fact, is major. The respondent No.3 has been residing at her matrimonial home. He states that he has no objection in case the present petition is allowed whereas, respondent No.3 has made

a statement that her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C was recorded against her will and she has been residing happily at her matrimonial home.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, acknowledges the fact that they were living in live-in-relationship before the registration of FIR and respondent No.3 is being looked after by the parents of the petitioner and now the parties have decided to solemnize the marriage.

Heard.

Taking into consideration the fact that respondent Nos. 2 & 3 have no objection if the petition is allowed; she is residing at her matrimonial home and; the parties have decided to marry, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

21.08.2017.

SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No