

243

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-26602 of 2015

Date of decision: 14.03.2017

Sandeep Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

REKHA MITTAL J. (Oral)

Notice to respondent No.2 could not be issued as counsel for the petitioner did not furnish fresh/correct address of respondent No.2 in compliance with the order dated 30.09.2016.

Counsel for the State has apprised the Court that 07 out of 09 witnesses cited by the prosecution have already been examined and cross-examination of the remaining witnesses is pending and the case is now fixed for 15.03.2017 for evidence of the prosecution.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner to say something in the matter.

In view of the above, as evidence of the prosecution is almost complete, I do not find any reason to interfere in exercise of jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioner would be at liberty to raise all available pleas before the trial Court.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

14.03.2017

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No