

**CRM-M-26610-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26610-2017**

**Date of Decision:03.08.2017**

Tirath Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

**INDERJIT SINGH, J.**

Petitioner-Tirath Singh has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.298 dated 14.06.2017, registered at Police station Civil Lines, District Amritsar, under Sections 379 and 379-B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

**CRM-M-26610-2017**

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been registered on the statement of Rahul. As per the prosecution version, on 13.06.2017, the complainant reached the market in his Verna car. When he came out of the car to take ice-cream, then a young boy came there and sat on the driver's seat of his car and thereafter, another young boy also sat next to the driver's seat of his car. The keys of the car were with the complainant, but the car started due to push button. They tried to reverse the car from there. When he came near to the car, the boy sitting next to the driver's seat showed him pistol and thereafter they ran away from the spot alongwith his car. In the present case, the petitioner has been nominated by co-accused and he is required for custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of nature and gravity of the offence, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

**03.08.2017**

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)  
JUDGE**

|              |                           |   |     |
|--------------|---------------------------|---|-----|
| <b>Note:</b> | Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes |
|              | Whether reportable        | : | No  |