

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.315

**FAO No.3552 of 2001
Date of decision : 08.12.2017**

Vijay Pal

..... Appellant

VERSUS

Manohar Lal and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: None for the parties.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This case has been listed before me from time to time. None has chosen to put in appearance on any of the previous dates, i.e. 11.08.2017, 18.08.2017, 25.08.2017, 01.09.2017 and 22.09.2017. Even today, none is present on behalf of the parties.

2. The case pertains to the year 2001. The appellant has been represented through learned counsel intermittently. None has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents, even though, they have been served. Under the circumstances, I am proceeding to decide the case in the absence of learned counsel for the parties.

3. An accident took place on 28.12.2000, in which the claimant-appellant suffered some injuries. The Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, Gurgaon (hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal') passed an Award dated 08.08.2001 and awarded a compensation of ₹10,000/-. Aggrieved by the meagre amount of the compensation, the present appeal has been preferred by the appellant.

4. The evidence on the record i.e. X-ray report (Ex.P-4) suggests that the appellant has suffered fracture on the right clavicle region. However, there is nothing on the record to indicate whether the said injury resulted in any permanent disablement. Details of expenditure on treatment, have also not been placed on the record. Under the circumstances, no error can be found in the Award dated 08.08.2001 passed by the learned Tribunal.
5. The appeal is dismissed, accordingly.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

December 08, 2017
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No