

**217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.26521 of 2017 (O&M)  
Date of decision : December 08, 2017**

**Poonam Gupta**

**..... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Union Territory, Chandigarh and another**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:-** Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Virk, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. S.S. Narula, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

**KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as per allegations, respondent No.2, who happens to be sister-in-law of the petitioner, illegally entered her house. Therefore, the offence of criminal trespass is made out. It is not a civil dispute as sought to be put forward on behalf of Union Territory, Chandigarh. Therefore, request is for directing the police to register the FIR.

Without going into the merits of the case, I am of the view that if the petitioner has any grievance that criminal offence has been committed, she should have approach the learned Illaqa Magistrate with appropriate prayer.

As such, the petitioner is relegated to avail the remedy before the learned Illaqa Magistrate with appropriate prayer.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

December 08, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned                      Yes

Whether Reportable:                                      No