

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-26472 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: August 17, 2017

Lakhvir Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail in a case registered against the petitioner under Sections 307, 323 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Nakodar, District Jalandhar, vide FIR No.89 dated 16.04.2015.

It has been urged before the court that co-accused of the petitioner have been acquitted. Role assigned to him is of giving a *Gandasi* blow from reverse side. There has been a compromise between the parties. Thus, he may be granted the concession of pre-arrest bail.

Prayer has been opposed by the State counsel. According to him, petitioner never joined the investigation or trial. He is, thus, not entitled to concession of anticipatory bail.

In view of above, prayer for pre-arrest bail is hereby declined. It is, however, directed that in case petitioner appears before the trial court within ten days from today and applies for regular bail, his plea shall be

decided within three days thereafter. Arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed till then. The law laid down by this court in *CRM-M-13084 of 2014 titled as Munfed and another vs. State of Haryana*, decided on 9.7.2014 be also kept in mind by the Presiding Officer.

Dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

August 17, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No