

**216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-26460 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 01.09.2017.

Amarjit Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. AS Gill, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. F.S. Virk, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438
the Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 58 dated
16.06.2017 registered under Sections 406, 498-A and 506 IPC at Police
Station Amloh, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

On 25.07.2017 this Court had passed the following
order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner No.1 is the mediator
whereas petitioner No.2 is the paternal uncle of the husband. Both
have been residing separately and have no influence on the family
of the husband.

Notice of motion for 01.09.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting
Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the
following conditions:-

- 1.That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- 2.That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
- 3.That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court. ”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 25.07.2017, the petitioners have joined the investigation. It is further contended that even if the allegations levelled in the FIR are taken as gospel truth, that does not indict the petitioners, who are distant relatives.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of above, the order dated 25.07.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioners to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

01.09.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No