

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26454-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision:31.07.2017**

Gurjit Singh @ Jeeta

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. K.S. Dhillon, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, AAG, Punjab.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

This is the second petition preferred by the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.49, dated 26.06.2015, under Sections 22/61 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Begowal, District Kapurthala.

The alleged recovery effected from the petitioner was of 165 grams contraband. Concededly, as per report of the Chemical Examiner, the same was found to be containing alprazolam.

Recovery allegedly effected from the petitioner would be construed as commercial in nature.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner would raise a solitary submission that the trial is not making any progress as till date only two official witnesses have been examined.

Per contra, learned State counsel, who is on instructions from HC Sukhwinder Singh states that out of 7 prosecution witnesses cited, 3

have already been examined.

In the light of the alleged recovery effected from the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to accept the prayer for regular bail.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to make an earnest endeavour to expedite the trial proceedings.

Disposed of.

31.07.2017

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

(ii) Whether Reportable? Yes/No