

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-26451 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.11.2017.

Jaswinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Petitioner in person with
Mr. R.K. Shukla, Advocate.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.330 dated 12.06.2017 registered under Sections 498-A, 323, 506 read with Section 34 IPC Police Station Mujessar, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of the order dated 25.09.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that though, the petitioner has joined the investigation but not a single dowry article so far has been recovered whereas, the learned counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioner gave severe beatings to the complainant. Immediately the complaint was lodged. As she felt

threatened at the matrimonial home, she had to move to her parental home at Faridabad where x-ray was carried out upon her and her arm was found fractured.

Heard.

As per instructions, the petitioner is not cooperating in the investigation. During the course of arguments, the petitioner made a statement that he is ready to make the payment and at the first instance, he made an offer of Rs.3 lakh towards the full and final settlement and subsequently he raised it to Rs.8 lakh. The conduct of the petitioner and the quick change of stance is not acceptable to the Court. This Court feels that no case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail is made out.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

17.11.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No