

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-27294 of 2016
Date of decision: 31.05.2017**

Poonam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

This petition under Section 482 Cr. P.C. has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner along with her family members from respondent Nos. 4 to 8 and to take action against them in FIR No.204 dated 16.04.2011 (Annexure P-1).

Upon notice, status report dated 20.03.2017, by way of affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Civil Lines, Gurugram, has been filed, stating therein that after registration of the FIR, non bailable warrants were got issued from the Court of learned Illaqa Magistrate. Later on, it was found that all the accused had left for Kathmandu (Nepal) by selling their immovable properties. Thereafter, open dated warrants were got issued from the Court of learned Illaqa Magistrate on 15.09.2012. Consequently, notices under Section 160 Cr.P.C. were issued to the complainant to produce the

relevant documents and evidence, but she did not join the investigation.

During verification of the facts conducted by the SHO, Police Station, Civil Lines, Gurugram and the ACP Headquarters, Gurugram, no incriminating evidence was found against accused Dheeraj Garg and he was found to be innocent. Thereafter, Sections 420/120-B IPC were deleted. Later on, the petitioner joined investigation on 10.12.2016 and her statement (Annexure R-1) was recorded by the Investigating Officer. It has been further stated that the main accused persons are in Nepal and the untraced report is still in existence.

On 22.03.2017, the petitioner had informed the Court that she was still getting threat calls from Abhishek and his parents. She was directed to join the investigation and give details of telephone numbers, from which she was getting threat calls, along with details of email address.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, has informed the Court that on 09.05.2017, the petitioner appeared and made a statement, but she did not give details of the telephone numbers, from which, threat calls are given to her.

In the absence of any details given by the petitioner, no further directions are required to be given in this petition. However, she can approach the police/competent authority, in case she gets actual threat calls from anyone.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

31.05.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No