

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-26380 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: March 08, 2017

Sandeep Dhingra

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.R.S.Rawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.V.P.S.Sidhu, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr.Ashok Giri, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing the order dated 03.07.2015 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, SAS Nagar, Mohali, whereby the application under Section 311 Cr.P.C. filed by the petitioner for leading additional evidence was dismissed.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 appeared and contested the petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned

State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that an application was filed under Section 311 Cr.P.C. by the applicant-complainant for bringing the attested copies of bail application. Learned JMIC, SAS Nagar vide order dated 03.07.2015, dismissed the application by holding that nothing has been shown as to how the bringing on record of said bail applications filed by the accused would be relevant to the just decision of the case.

I have gone through the application which was filed before the lower Court. The perusal of the application shows that nothing has been mentioned as to how the bail applications are relevant to the controversy in dispute and how these bail applications are necessary and essential for the just decision of the case. It is simply stated in the application that accused Satbir Singh and Narinder Singh filed respective bail applications before the High Court and had made certain pleadings in the said bail applications and the applicant intends to bring the attested copies of the bail applications by way of additional evidence. The application filed by the applicant-petitioner is vague and there is nothing in the application that how these documents are necessary for the just decision of the case.

In view of the above discussion, I find that the impugned order dated 03.07.2015 passed by learned JMIC, SAS Nagar, Mohali, is correct and as per law and the same is upheld.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

March 08, 2017
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No