

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

**CRM-M-26395 of 2017
DATE OF DECISION:24.07.2017**

HARPREET SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. N.P.S. Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of the order dated 27.05.2014 (Annexure P-3) whereby the petitioner has been declared proclaimed offender in FIR No.79 dated 27.09.2011 registered under section 420 IPC at Police Station Phase 11, Mohali.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is no evidence against the petitioner and therefore, the impugned order deserves to be set aside.

Heard.

It has been recorded by the trial Court in the impugned order that proclamation in the case of the petitioner was affected on 25.04.2014 but he did not turn up even after expiry of the stipulated period of 30 days. The petitioner had been granted ample opportunity to appear before the Court. This order which is impugned herein was passed on 27.05.2014 and the instant petition has been filed in the year 2017 after a delay of 3 years.

Therefore, I do not find any illegality in the impunged order which would warrant interference while exercising jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner shall surrender before the trial Court and file his bail application which may be disposed of expeditiously by the trial Court.

Consequently, I do not find any merit in the instant petition which stands dismissed. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to surrender before the trial Court and in such an event, he shall be at liberty to file an application for regular bail which will be decided by the trial Court expeditiously.

July 24, 2017

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No