

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-27202 of 2016
Decided on: 02.03.2017**

Princepal Singh @ Prince	Versus	Petitioner
State of Punjab and another		Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate
for Mr. D.S. Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Jain, AAG, Punjab.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Respondent No.2 is not present despite service.

Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has already joined investigation in compliance with interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 24.08.2016 and he is ready to face the proceedings in accordance with law.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Satwant Singh, concedes to this fact and further states that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

The complainant has not come present despite service to say something in the matter or to oppose the prayer for bail.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the following conditions:-

- (i)** *He shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- (ii)** *He shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from*

*disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
and
(iii) He shall not leave India without the previous
permission of the Court.*

02.03.2017
yakub

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No