

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-26302 of 2017(O&M)
Date of decision : 18.09.2017**

Balraj Singh @ Billa

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

AND

CRM-M-32444 of 2017 (O&M)

Gagandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Staet of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. P.B.S.Goraya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

LISA GILL,J(Oral)

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-26302 of 2017 as well
as CRM-M-32444 of 2017. For the sake of convenience, facts are
extracted from CRM-M-26302 of 2017.

The petitioners in both the cases seek the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 77 dated 27.05.2011, under Sections 326, 324, 325, 323, 506, 148, 149, 326-A (added later on) IPC, registered at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar.

Petitioners have been summoned to face trial as additional accused on an application under Section 319 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.'). It is submitted that they were found innocent during investigation. No specific role has been attributed to any of the petitioners. Co-accused Baldev Singh, against whom specific allegations have been raised, it is submitted has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail on 19.04.2017 in CRM-M-2526 of 2017 (Annexure P-1). Moreover, petitioner-Balraj Singh @ Billa has appeared before the learned trial Court on 30.08.2017 and petitioner-Gagandeep Singh appeared before the learned trial Court on 03.09.2017 pursuant to interim orders passed by this Court. Petitioners undertake to face trial and not abuse this concession of bail, if made absolute. It is thus prayed that these petitions be allowed.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from HC Harjit Singh, verifies the aforementioned factual position. It is further verified that none of the petitioners are involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioners are likely to abscond or that they are likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances noted above but without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, it is considered just

and expedient to allow these petitions. Consequently, interim bail afforded to petitioners by the learned trial Court pursuant to interim orders passed by this Court be made absolute subject to their furnishing fresh bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

Petitions are accordingly allowed.

18.09.2017

s.khan

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No