

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-27168 of 2016  
Date of decision: 23.01.2017**

**Rajan Goyal and another**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI**

Present: Mr. A.K. Walia, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

**Ritu Bahri, J.**

Quashing of FIR No. 54 dated 19.07.2013, under Sections 406, 498-A, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station, Women Cell, Patiala (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 25.04.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Nitakshi Goyal-respondent No.2 (complainant) to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Rajan Goyal-petitioner No.1 on 20.09.2007 as per Hindu rites and ceremonies at Patiala. Out of this wedlock, a boy namely Shivansh Goyal has been born. Soon after the marriage, her in-laws (petitioners) started harassing and humiliating her on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry. She was also given beatings by them. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of trial, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably resolved between the

parties vide compromise deed dated 25.04.2016 (Annexure P-2). As per compromise, petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have decided to part their ways by seeking divorce with mutual consent. Petitioner No.1 has agreed to given an amount of Rs.6 lacs to respondent No.2 and the minor child towards their past, present and future maintenance.

In compliance with the order dated 08.08.2016 passed by this Court, parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. Report from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala, has been received in this regard. As per report, Nitakshi Goyal-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 13.09.2016 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with accused-petitioners out of her own free will and without any pressure or coercion. As per compromise, she has already received a sum of Rs.3 lacs and the remaining amount of Rs.3 lacs would be received by her at the time of second statement. Custody of the minor child-Shivansh Goyal would be with her. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Joint statement of Rajan Goyal and Kanta Rani-petitioners was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (CrL.) 1052, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 54 dated 19.07.2013, under Sections 406,

498-A, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station, Women Cell, Patiala, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

23.01.2017

(RITU BAHRI)  
JUDGE

ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No