

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26246-2017

Date of Decision:- July 24, 2017

Rishi Pal

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SHEKHER DHAWAN, J.

Present petition is for pre-arrest bail in case bearing FIR No. 389 dated 19.4.2016 registered under Section 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station – City Karnal, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. More so, co-accused Vikas Sharma is running separate B.B.Insurance-cum-Tour and Traveler at Karnal and he used to get insurance policies issued directly in the name of various consumers. Evidently, either the complainant or the aforesaid Vikas Sharma, on the basis of scanned copy of previous year insurance policy, got changed the year and issued the policy in question whereas, the petitioner has no concern with the said insurance policy. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation and he be given the concession of pre-arrest bail.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. S.P.Singh, D.A.G. Haryana accepts notice.

Learned State counsel while opposing the bail application contended that the petitioner is the main accused in this case. Vikas Sharma was working with the petitioner and the entire matter requires to be probed thoroughly and for that purpose, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary.

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and the fact that the petitioner along with co-accused, Vikas Sharma had given forged insurance policy to the complainant in respect of his vehicle for the period from 9.8.2014 to 8.8.2015 and this may be a part of larger conspiracy involving interest of so many consumers and forgery of documents of the insurance company, and for that purpose, custodial interrogation of the petitioner would be required. There is no ground to release the petitioner on pre-arrest bail. The present bail application for pre-arrest bail stands dismissed.

July 24,2017
som

(**SHEKHER DHAWAN**)
JUDGE

Speaking/Reasoned
Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No