

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-26197 OF 2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 18th SEPTEMBER, 2017

Vikas & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Vishavdeep Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.
 Mr. D. R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.
 Mr. Harkirat Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.0102 dated 30.04.2017 registered under Sections 323, 341, 37-A and 34 IPC at Police Station Uklana, District Hissar.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that both these petitioners are facing number of cases of similar nature i.e. snatching of valuable articles. He further submits that the charge has been framed against the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the complainant appeared before this Court and also appeared before the trial Court for agreeing for granting the relief of bail to the petitioners. It appears that the complainant filed affidavit in order to save the petitioners before the trial Court.

In view of the peculiar circumstances of this case, best course of action is to ask the learned trial Court to examine the complainant and thereafter proceed in accordance with law.

In that view of the matter, the petition is disposed of with direction to the trial Court to examine the complainant on next date of hearing i.e. 13.10.2017 fixed before it and proceed with the matter in accordance with law. The parties shall appear before the trial Court on the said date.

Disposed of with direction to the trial Court as aforesaid.

18th SEPTEMBER, 2017
‘raj’

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>