

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM No.M-2615 of 2017
Date of decision:20.3.2017

Sanjana @ Gurma and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.R.K.Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioners

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Though the report of the Registry is that notices could not be issued for want of process fee, however, Ms.Sheenu Sura, Advocate, appears for respondent no.5, i.e. father of petitioner no.1, and states that the father has no objection to the marriage of the petitioners to each other.

Though learned counsel appearing for respondent no.5 submits that she has no instructions qua respondent no.4, i.e. the mother of petitioner no.1, however in view of the aforesaid statement, this petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

However respondents no.2 and 3 are directed to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are duly protected within the four corners of law.

20.3.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No