

204     **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26079-2017**  
**Date of Decision: 11.08.2017**

Rajender ..... Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Haryana .... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present :     Mr. Parveen Kaushik, Advocate  
                      for the petitioner.  
  
                      Mr. D.R. Jhingra, D.A.G., Haryana.  
  
                      Mr. Jatinder Dhanda, Advocate  
                      for the complainant.

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)**

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* that the grievance of the complainant discloses a purely civil dispute regarding alleged non-payment of the balance consideration price, which can be recovered through civil proceedings, if found admissible.

In response to repeated queries by the Court, however, learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that physical custody of the truck in question is not with his client. If that be so, the logic of petition of a purely civil dispute becomes highly circumspect. In such eventuality custodial interrogation of the petitioner would certainly appear to be warranted for the aforesaid reasons.

Prayer of interim bail is rejected.

**August 11, 2017**  
*ps-I*

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned     :     Yes/No  
Whether reportable                :     Yes/No