

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

267

CRM-M-26085 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION: 17.08.2017.

Dr. Surender Kumar Jain

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Dev Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Manish Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Naresh Jian, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Shekher Dhawan, J. (Oral)

There is no representation from the petitioner side. Same was the state of affairs on previous dates i.e. 01.10.2015, 29.08.2016 and 08.12.2016.

Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 contended that the present petition has already become infructuous as the main complaint filed by the petitioner has already been withdrawn from the trial Court.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE**

17.08.2017.

komal

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether reportable : YES/NO