

**275 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-2600 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 31.01.2017.

Sawan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. AG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.1125 dated 01.09.2016, registered under Section 379-B IPC at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR. His name does not figure in the FIR. He is being implicated on the basis of disclosure statement suffered by the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 24.09.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the disclosure statement made by the petitioner, one knife and a sum of Rs.9000/- in cash has been recovered which

connects the petitioner with the present FIR.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is sought to be connected with the present case only on the basis of disclosure statement and except that there is no other evidence and that the petitioner is in custody since 24.09.2016, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. It is ordered that the petitioner be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

31.01.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No