

Sr. No.210

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-2594 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:07.04.2017

Manish

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr.Varun Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashok Tyagi, Advocate and
Mr. D.S.Nirban, Advocate,
for the complainant.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.86 dated 02.02.2016, under Sections 420, 406, 467, 471, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Gurgaon City, District Gurgaon.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered on the statement of Shiv Avtar. Allegations are that wife of the complainant had purchased a plot situated in Sushant Lok, Gurgaon from Alok Mittal who happened to be the general power of attorney holder of the original owner, namely, Ved Rani. Subsequently, the complainant became aware that the present petitioner in connivance with other co-accused has got some lady to impersonate for Ved Rani and thereby the plot in question was sold in favour of Anuj Kumar and Vipin Tyagi. Further allegations are that such plot that had actually been

has in fact been sold to Vipin Tyagi and Anuj Kumar on the strength of forged and fabricated documents.

The present petitioner was arrested on 07.11.2016.

Investigation in the case is complete and the challan has been presented. Charges are yet to be framed.

It is not the case of the prosecution that present petitioner is the beneficiary of such alleged fraudulent transaction.

In the totality of the circumstances, petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Gurgaon.

Disposed of.

07.04.2017

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No