

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-26742 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 26TH MAY, 2017

Satinder Dhama

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Kamal Chaudhari, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. G. S. Salwara, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.77 dated 24.06.2012 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 473 read with Section 120-B IPC at Police Station Sector-14, Panchkula, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 08.12.2016 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it is seen that the

compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioner. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-26742 OF 2016 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.77 dated 24.06.2012 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 473 read with Section 120-B IPC at Police Station Sector-14, Panchkula, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings, qua the petitioner.

26TH MAY, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>