

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-26731 of 2016  
Date of Decision : 02.08.2017

Surjit Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

*CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL*

Present: Mr. Karamjit Singh Mangat, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Seena Mand, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)**

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No. 176, dated 07.07.2011, under Sections 420, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Batala, District Gurdaspur, on the basis of compromise dated 04.07.2016 (Annexure P-2), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is outcome of a monetary dispute, which has now been resolved.

A coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 08.05.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to record the statements of the parties with regard to genuineness of the compromise and send a report to this Court. The report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Batala, dated 23.05.2017, has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded, which indicates that they have entered into compromise voluntarily and out of free will.

The afore-noted FIR is the outcome of a monetary dispute, which has now been resolved and the parties want to put quietus to the litigation. In view the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 176, dated 07.07.2011, under Sections 420, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Batala, District Gurdaspur and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)  
JUDGE

August 02, 2017  
kanchan

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes

Whether reportable? No