

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-25815 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.07.2017

Munish Garg

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Anand.

Mr. Kawaljyot Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 1545 dated 03.12.2016, registered under Sections 66-A and 67-A of the Information Technology Act and Section 10 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat.

The learned counsel refers to Annexure P-4 to contend that the parties have compromised the matter. The petitioner is in custody since 03.06.2017.

The learned counsel for respondent No.2 duly admits the factum of compromise.

Heard.

Considering the factum of compromise, this Court feels that no purpose would be achieved in keeping the petitioner in further incarceration, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

25.07.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No