

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-25800 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.07.2017

Mandeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by Prem Kumar.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 204 dated 01.06.2015, registered under Sections 346, 363, 363-A and 376 (1) of IPC, at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani.

The prosecutrix present in person states that she being major had solemnized marriage with the petitioner. Even she made a similar statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. The petitioner is in custody since 03.11.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the statement of the prosecutrix and the fact that

petitioner is in custody since 03.11.2016, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

25.07.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No