

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2573-2017

Date of decision : 31.01.2017

Smt. Hemlata

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar Sood, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the allegation is that the petitioner received ₹15 lacs as earnest money from the complainant and thereafter made another agreement to sell the property in question to another person, factually it is not the petitioner but her husband who is stated to have entered into the second agreement.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Rajpal Singh, submits that the husband of the petitioner is also in custody.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and with the petitioner being in custody for the past three months, with the trial likely to continue for some time, it is considered appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail to the satisfaction of the Id. trial Court. Consequently, upon furnishing adequate furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of that Court, she would be released from custody.

The petition is disposed of.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

January 31, 2017.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No