

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M No.25699 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 07, 2017**

Sheela and another **Petitioner**

Versus
State of U.T. Chandigarh and others **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.S. Duggal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Ashima Mor, U.T., Chandigarh.
Mr. B.B.S. Randhawa, Advocate for respondent No.4.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to produce the copy of decree of divorce of petitioner No.1.

However, learned counsel for the State has filed a photocopy of the report dated 23.08.2017 of Deputy Superintendent of Police, East, U.T., Chandigarh, in the Court today and the same is taken on record. In the report, it is stated that the statements of both the petitioners have been recorded, in which, they have stated that now, they have no threat to their life and liberty.

In view of the matter, without going into the validity of the marriage, claimed by the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to the petitioners that if they face any threat to their life and liberty, they can always approach the police.

As such, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

September 07, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes Whether Reportable: No

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**