

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-26446 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: December 08, 2017**

Lakhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Davinder Kumar, Advocate for
Mr.P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Simranjeet Kaur, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

None for respondent No.2.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. against respondents State of Punjab and Gurpreet Singh for quashing the FIR No.162 dated 16.07.2016 under Section 382 IPC registered at Police Station Kotwali, District Bathinda along with all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared. However, none appeared on behalf of respondent No.2, despite service.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

In the present case, notice issued to respondent No.2, has been

received back duly served as per the report of the Registry but none appeared on behalf of respondent No.2 today and even on the last date i.e. 06.02.2017. As respondent No.2 is not appearing in the Court, therefore, no direction can be given to the trial Court to record the statements of the parties.

Therefore, present petition stands dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to file the petition afresh, if respondent No.2 agrees to the compromise and ready to appear in the Court.

December 08, 2017
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No