

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25566 of 2017

Date of decision: September 07, 2017

Darshan Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Gurkamal Singh, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Cheema, Advocate the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In complaint case CIS No.Coml/13/2014 dated 28.10.2013 titled as “Om Parkash versus Darshan Lal etc.”, under Sections 307, 323, 120-B, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 19.07.2017 directing the petitioner to surrender before the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner makes a statement that pursuance to order dated 19.07.2017, the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and furnished bail bonds.

Accordingly, the interim bail furnished by the petitioner, before the trial Court, in pursuance to the order dated 19.07.2017 passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

September 07, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No