

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

286

CRM-M-2555 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION:14.09.2017

Jogender Samal and others

... Petitioner(s)

V.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Sandeep K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Vikramjit Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.326 dated 22.06.2016, under Sections 408,420,465,468,471, 120-B of the IPC, registered at Police Station City Rohtak on the basis of compromise which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is an outcome of a monetary dispute between the parties, which has now been resolved.

A coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 27.01.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to record the statements of the parties with regard to genuineness of the compromise and send a report to this Court. The report of JMIC, Rohtak dated 14.03.2017 has been received,

wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court,

the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that the conditional compromise had taken place as mentioned in the compromise deed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has furnished a copy of the order of JMIC, Rohtak dated 06.07.2017 along with the statement of Santosh Kumar, Company Secretary of Complainant Company, which indicates that the matter has been compromised and the entire amount as per the compromise deed has been paid. Copies of the order and the statement are taken on record.

The FIR is an outcome of a monetary dispute between the parties, which has now been resolved. The matter has been compromised.

In view of the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.326 dated 22.06.2006, under Sections 408,420,465,468,471,120-B of the IPC, registered at Police Station City Rohtak and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

14.09.2017

jt

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No