

Learned counsel for the State submits that though the present case rests on circumstantial evidence, there is sufficient evidence against the petitioner. She is the wife of the owner of the hotel where the offence in question is alleged to have taken place. It is, however, not denied that the petitioner has been inculpated on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused. It is verified that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case. Trial, in this case, is not likely to conclude in the near future.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that petitioner is likely to abscond or that she is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

No useful purpose shall be served by keeping the petitioner incarcerated any longer. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances noted above but without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, it is considered just and expedient to allow this petition.

Consequently, the petitioner be released on bail pending trial subject to her furnishing requisite bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

It is reiterated that none of the observations made herein above are a reflection on the merits of the case and shall have no bearing on the trial.

September 20, 2017
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No