

108.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25434-2017

Date of decision:19.07.2017

JARNAIL SINGH

.... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Ms. Poonam Rani, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Jarnail Singh has approached this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. with a direction to the respondents to further investigate the FIR No.117 dated 01.06.2014 under Sections 457, 380 IPC, registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula.

Learned counsel for petitioner has argued that in CRM-M-40373-2014 filed by the petitioner-complainant seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to Gurnam Singh-accused, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Pardeep Kumar, Police Station Pinjore, had apprised the Court that after completion of the investigation, the police prepared the untraced report and the same was filed before the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula for approval. She has further argued that despite the aforesaid statement

made by learned State counsel on 08.02.2017, the untraced report has

not been submitted so far.

Heard, learned counsel for the petitioner.

The order dated 08.02.2017 shows that the prayer made by the petitioner seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the accused was declined. So far as the contention of the State counsel that the police has prepared the untraced report and the same has not been submitted to the court till date, the petitioner was required to approach the authorities concerned but instead of approaching the concerned authorities, the petitioner has straightway approached this Court.

There is no recital in the petition that after the aforesaid order dated 08.02.2017, the petitioner has ever approached the police authorities asking them to submit untraced report, if so prepared. Therefore, in these circumstances, the present petition is not maintainable and the same is, accordingly, dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the concerned authorities for redressal of his grievance by making a detailed representation.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

19.07.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.