

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

289

CRM-M-25422 of 2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:30.08.2017

Jarnail Singh @ Jally

... Petitioner(s)

V.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Saroj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Arun Vashisht, Advocate for respondent No.4.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.148 dated 11.07.2016, under Sections 341/324/323/34 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Gobindgarh, District Fatehgarh Sahib and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise, which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR is an offshoot of a sudden quarrel due to misunderstanding, which has now been resolved.

This Court vide order dated 18.07.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to record the statements of the parties with regard to genuineness of the compromise and send a report to this Court. The report of

pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that the compromise is genuine, without any coercion or undue influence.

The FIR is an offshoot of a sudden quarrel due to misunderstanding, which has now been resolved.

In view of the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.148 dated 11.07.2016, under Sections 341/324/323/34 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Gobindgarh, District Fatehgarh Sahib and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

30.08.2017

jt

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No