

CRM-M-26296 of 2016**Date of decision: 07.02.2017****Santosh Kumar & Ors.**

... Petitioners

VS.

UT of Chandigarh & Anr.

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

* * *

Present : Mr. D. C. Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, Assistant PP
for UT Chandigarh.

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

As directed by this Court on 18.01.2017, an affidavit on behalf of UT, Chandigarh has been filed in which it has been stated that there are no exposed electric wires in the premises in question. That being so, no further orders need to be passed in that context.

Through the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.132, dated 11.05.2014, registered under Sections 336/ 337 IPC, at Police Station Sector 36, Chandigarh and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise(Annexure P-3).

In support of the compromise, both the petitioners and the complainant have got their statements recorded before the trial Court and a report to that effect from the trial Court has been received.

Learned State counsel submits that he has no objection if the

FIR is quashed in terms of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

In view of the above, continuation of the proceedings in pursuance of the afore-referred FIR would be an abuse of the process of law and in terms of the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others versus State of Haryana and others, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, I deem it just and proper to allow the petition and resultantly quash the FIR No.132, dated 11.05.2014, registered under Sections 336/ 337 IPC, at Police Station Sector 36, Chandigarh.

February 07, 2017
rimpal

(Deepak Sibal)
Judge