

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-26207 OF 2016 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 21.02.2017**

Teja Singh

...Petitioner

versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : Mr. Rohit Joshi, Advocate for
Mr, Sunny Saggar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.3.

Mr. H. S. Sethi, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 and 6.

Mr. A. P. Kaushal, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)

This Court vide order dated 25.03.2015 passed in CWP
No. 19859 of 2013 directed the State to forward the reports
dated 02.02.2009 (P-13) and 12.04.2013 (P-10) drawn by
Additional Deputy Commissioner, Patiala, to the police
department for proper investigation.

Reply on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 filed today in Court is taken on record. As per the reply, both the reports were taken into consideration and a detailed enquiry was conducted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Circle Nabha. After complete enquiry, it has been found that no incriminating evidence regarding embezzlement committed by the Sarpanch could be found. The opinion of Deputy District Attorney (legal) was also taken qua the enquiry conducted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Circle Nabha and he also opined that no action is required to be taken in the matter.

In this view of the matter, the petition is without any merit and the same is hereby dismissed.

However, the petitioner is free to avail any other alternate remedy available to him as per law.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

FEBRUARY 21, 2017
shalini

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | YES/NO |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | YES/NO |