

CRM-M-2532-2017

Date of decision : 24.04.2017

Lovepreet Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sulinder Kumar AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Despite the fact that the petitioners in the present petition are seeking protection of life and liberty at the hands of respondents no.4 to 8 on account of the fact that the petitioners had married each other against the will of the said respondents, and notice was issued in said petition on 25.01.2017 granting them interim protection, as per the report of the Registry, notices could not actually be issued as process fee was not filed by the petitioners.

Today, even on second call, none has come present for the petitioners.

This petition is consequently disposed of with a direction to respondents no.2 & 3 that the lives and liberty of the petitioners would continue to be protected in accordance with law but if any of the averments made in the petition are found to be false, action as per law, whether under the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, or any other provision, would continue against the petitioners in such circumstances.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

April 24, 2017.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No