

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-25317-2017
Date of Decision: 31.10.2017**

Harsimranjeet Singh & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. N. S. Dadwal, Advocate for
Mr. Bikramjit Aroura, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A. S. Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 212 dated 31.08.2014, under Sections 498-A and 406 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Sultanwind, District Amritsar City and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 07.03.2017 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

The marriage of respondent No. 2/complainant Avneet Kaur, was solemnized on 18.11.2012 with petitioner No. 1 Harsimranjeet Singh as per Sikh rites and rituals. However, due to temperamental differences between the husband and wife, a matrimonial disputes arose and respondent No. 2 got registered the instant FIR under the aforesaid sections of the IPC.

Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a compromise.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from JMIC, Amritsar, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one.

Learned counsel for the petitioners informs this Court that in fact during the pendency of this petition, the parties have obtained a decree of divorce under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, and learned counsel for respondent No. 2 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate

conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 212 dated 31.08.2014, under Sections 498-A and 406 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Sultanwind, District Amritsar City and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

31.10.2017
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No